From Haar to Lebesgue via Domain Theory

Michael Mislove

Tulane University

Summer Topology Conference
University of Leicester
Tuesday, August 2, 2016

Joint work with Will Brian

Work Supported by US AFOSR & NSF

Lebesgue Measure and Unit Interval

- $[0,1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ inherits *Lebesgue measure*: $\lambda([a,b]) = b - a$.
- *Translation invariance:* $\lambda(x + A) = \lambda(A)$ for all (Borel) measurable $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Lebesgue Measure and Unit Interval

- $[0, 1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ inherits *Lebesgue measure*: $\lambda([a, b]) = b - a$.
- *Translation invariance:* $\lambda(x + A) = \lambda(A)$ for all (Borel) measurable $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
- **Theorem** (Haar, 1933) Every locally compact group G has a unique (up to scalar constant) left-translation invariant regular Borel measure μ_G called *Haar measure*.

  If G is compact, then $\mu_G(G) = 1$.

  Example: $\mathbb{T} \simeq \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ with quotient measure from λ.

  If G is finite, then μ_G is normalized counting measure.

The Cantor Set



$$\mathcal{C} = \bigcap_n \mathcal{C}_n \subseteq [0,1] \text{ compact 0-dimensional, } \lambda(\mathcal{C}) = 0.$$

Theorem: $\mathcal{C}$ is the unique compact Hausdorff 0-dimensional second countable perfect space. Moreover, $\mathcal{C} \simeq G$ for any second countable profinite group, G.

- $e \in U_k$ open $\Rightarrow$ $(\exists H_k < G \text{ open})\ H_k \subseteq U_k$
- $H_k < U_k$ open $\Rightarrow$ $(\exists N_k \lhd G)\ H_k < N_k \subseteq U_k$.
- Thus $G \simeq \varprojlim_k G/H_k$.

Cantor Groups

Definition: A *Cantor group* is a compact, 0-dimensional second countable perfect space endowed with a topological group structure.

- *Canonical Cantor group:* $\mathcal{C} \simeq {\mathbb{Z}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a compact group in the product topology. $\mu_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the product measure $(\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathbb{Z}_2) = 1)$



Theorem: (Schmidt) The Cantor map $\mathcal{C} \to [0, 1]$ sends Haar measure on $\mathcal{C} = {\mathbb{Z}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$ to Lebesgue measure.

Goal: Generalize this to *all* Cantor groups $\mathcal{C}$.

Cantor Groups

- $G = \prod_{n>1} \mathbb{Z}_n$ is also a Cantor group.

  μ_G is the product measure ($\mu_{\mathbb{Z}_n}(\mathbb{Z}_n) = 1$)
- $\mathbb{Z}_{p^\infty} = \varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ – p-adic integers.

  $x \mapsto x \bmod p \colon \mathbb{Z}_{p^{n+1}} \to \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$.
- $H = \prod_n S(n)$ – $S(n)$ symmetric group on n letters.

Some Harmonic Analysis

- **Theorem:** (Fedorchuk, 1991) If $X \simeq \varprojlim_{i \in I} X_i$ strict projective limit of compact spaces, then $Prob(X) \simeq \varprojlim_{i \in I} Prob(X_i)$.

Some Harmonic Analysis

- **Theorem:** (Fedorchuk, 1991) If $X \simeq \varprojlim_{i \in I} X_i$ strict projective limit of compact spaces, then $Prob(X) \simeq \varprojlim_{i \in I} Prob(X_i)$.
- **Lemma:** If $\varphi\colon G \twoheadrightarrow H$ is a surmorphism of compact groups, then $\varphi\,\mu_G = \mu_H$.

  Proof: $A \subseteq H$ measurable $\Rightarrow$

  $$\begin{aligned}\varphi\,\mu_G(hA) &= \mu_G(\varphi^{-1}(hA)) = \mu_G(\varphi^{-1}(h)\varphi^{-1}(A))\\ &= \mu_G((g\ker\varphi)\cdot\varphi^{-1}(A)) \qquad\qquad \text{(where } \varphi(g) = h\text{)}\\ &= \mu_G(g\cdot(\ker\varphi\cdot\varphi^{-1}(A) = \mu_G(\ker\varphi\cdot\varphi^{-1}(A))\\ &= \mu_G(\varphi^{-1}(A)) = \varphi\,\mu_G(A).\end{aligned}$$

Some Harmonic Analysis

- **Theorem:** (Fedorchuk, 1991) If $X \simeq \varprojlim_{i \in I} X_i$ strict projective limit of compact spaces, then $Prob(X) \simeq \varprojlim_{i \in I} Prob(X_i)$. In particular, if $X = G$ and $X_i = G_i$ are compact groups, then $\mu_G = \lim_{i \in I} \mu_{G_i}$ in $Prob(\prod_i G_i)$.

It's all about Abelian Groups

- **Theorem:** If $G = \varprojlim_n G_n$ is a Cantor group, there is a sequence $(\mathbb{Z}_{k_i})_{i>0}$ of cyclic groups so that $H = \varprojlim_n (\oplus_{i\leq n} \mathbb{Z}_{k_i})$ has the same Haar measure as G.

  Proof: Let $G \simeq \varprojlim_n G_n$, $|G_n| < \infty$.

  Assume $|H_n| = |G_n|$ with H_n abelian.

  Define $H_{n+1} = H_n \times \mathbb{Z}_{|G_{n+1}|/|G_n|}$. Then $|H_{n+1}| = |G_{n+1}|$,

  so $\mu_{H_n} = \mu_{|G_n|} = \mu_{G_n}$ for each n, and $H = \varprojlim_n H_n$ is abelian.

  Hence $\mu_H = \lim_n \mu_n = \mu_G$.

Combining Domain Theory and Group Theory

$\mathcal{C} = \varprojlim_n H_n$, $H_n = \oplus_{i \leq n} \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$

Endow H_n with *lexicographic order* for each n; then

$\pi_n \colon H_{n+1} \to H_n$ by $\pi_n(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) = (x_i, \ldots, x_n)$ &

$\iota_n \colon H_n \hookrightarrow H_{n+1}$ by $\iota_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (x_i, \ldots, x_n, 0)$ form

embedding-projection pair: $\pi_n \circ \iota_n = 1_{H_n}$ and $\iota_n \circ \pi_n \leq 1_{H_{n+1}}$.

Combining Domain Theory and Group Theory

$\mathcal{C} = \varprojlim_n H_n$, $H_n = \oplus_{i \leq n} \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$

Endow H_n with *lexicographic order* for each n; then

$\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{bilim}\,(H_n, \pi_n, \iota_n)$ is bialgebraic total order:

- $\mathcal{C}$ totally ordered, has all sups and infs
- $K(\mathcal{C}) = \bigcup_n \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n, 0, \ldots) \mid (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in H_n\}$
- $K(\mathcal{C}^{op}) = \{\sup\,(\downarrow k \setminus \{k\}) \mid k \in K(\mathcal{C})\}$

Combining Domain Theory and Group Theory

$\mathcal{C} = \varprojlim_n H_n$, $H_n = \oplus_{i\leq n} \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$

Endow H_n with *lexicographic order* for each n; then

$\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathrm{bilim}\,(H_n, \pi_n, \iota_n)$ is bialgebraic total order:

$\varphi\colon K(\mathcal{C}) \to [0,1]$ by $\varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{i\leq n} \frac{x_i}{k_1 \cdots k_i}$ strictly monotone

induces $\widehat{\varphi}\colon \mathcal{C} \to [0,1]$ monotone, Lawson continuous.

Combining Domain Theory and Group Theory

$\mathcal{C} = \varprojlim_n H_n$, $H_n = \oplus_{i\leq n} \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$

Endow H_n with *lexicographic order* for each n; then

$\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{bilim}\,(H_n, \pi_n, \iota_n)$ is bialgebraic total order:

$\varphi\colon K(\mathcal{C}) \to [0,1]$ by $\varphi(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \sum_{i\leq n} \frac{x_i}{k_1\cdots k_i}$ strictly monotone

induces $\widehat{\varphi}\colon \mathcal{C} \to [0,1]$ monotone, Lawson continuous.

$\mu_{\mathcal{C}} = \lim_n \mu_n$ implies for $0 \leq m \leq p \leq k_1 \cdots k_n$:

$\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(\widehat{\varphi}^{-1}[\frac{m}{k_1\cdots k_n}, \frac{p}{k_1\cdots k_n}]) = \frac{p-m}{k_1\cdots k_n} = \lambda([\frac{m}{k_1\cdots k_n}, \frac{p}{k_1\cdots k_n}])$

Then inner regularity implies $\widehat{\varphi}\,\mu_{\mathcal{C}} = \lambda$.

Combining Domain Theory and Group Theory

$\mathcal{C} = \varprojlim_n H_n$, $H_n = \oplus_{i \leq n} \mathbb{Z}_{k_i}$

Endow H_n with *lexicographic order* for each n; then

$\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathrm{bilim}\,(H_n, \pi_n, \iota_n)$ is bialgebraic total order:

$\varphi \colon K(\mathcal{C}) \to [0,1]$ by $\varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{i \leq n} \frac{x_i}{k_1 \cdots k_i}$ strictly monotone

induces $\widehat{\varphi} \colon \mathcal{C} \to [0,1]$ monotone, Lawson continuous.

Further, $\mathcal{C}' = \varprojlim_n G'_n$ with G'_n finite, then

$\widehat{\varphi}^{-1} \circ \widehat{\varphi}' \colon \mathcal{C}' \setminus K(\mathcal{C}') \to \mathcal{C} \setminus K(\mathcal{C})$ is a Borel isomorphism.

Lagniappe: Non-measurable Subgroups

In 1985 S. Saeki and K. Stromberg published the following question: *Does every infinite compact group have a subgroup which is not Haar measurable?*

Some known results:

• Every infinite compact abelian group has a non-measurable subgroup (Comfort, Raczkowski, and Trigos-Arrieta 2006)

• With the possible exception of metric profinite groups, every infinite compact group has a non-measurable subgroup (Hernández, Hofmann and Morris 2014)

- • A result of Hernández, Hofmann and Morris implies the remaining case is G profinite & *strongly complete group* (every finite index subgroup is open).

Proposition (Brian & M.) Let G be an infinite compact group.

1. It is consistent with ZFC that G has a non-measurable subgroup.
2. If G is an abelian Cantor group, then G has a nonmeasurable subgroup. (New proof)

Lagniappe: Non-measurable Subgroups

Proposition (Brian & M.) Let G be an infinite compact group.

1. It is consistent with ZFC that G has a non-measurable subgroup.
2. If G is an abelian Cantor group, then G has a nonmeasurable subgroup. (New proof)

Ad 1: By Hernández, *et al.*, we can assume G is metric and profinite, so G is a Cantor group. Our results show Haar measure on $G \simeq \mathcal{C}$ is the same as for an abelian group structure, for which $\widehat{\phi}\colon \mathcal{C} \to [0,1]$ takes Haar measure to Lebesgue measure.

Fact: There is a model of ZFC that admits a subset $X \subseteq [0,1]$ with $|X| < 2^{\aleph_0}$ that is not Lebesgue measurable (cf. Kechris).

Then $Y = \widehat{\phi}^{-1}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ is not Haar-measurable.

$H = \langle Y \rangle$ is a subgroup of G with $|H| = |X| \cdot \aleph_0 < 2^{\aleph_0}$. Then:

H is not measure 0 since then Y would be measurable,

while $\mu_G(H) > 0$ implies H is open, which implies $|H| = 2^{\aleph_0}$.

Thus H is not Haar measurable.

Lagniappe: Non-measurable Subgroups

Proposition (Brian & M.) Let G be an infinite compact group.

1. It is consistent with ZFC that G has a non-measurable subgroup.
2. If G is an abelian Cantor group, then G has a nonmeasurable subgroup. (New proof)

Ad 2: We first prove something stronger:

1.) If G is an infinite abelian group and $p \in G \setminus \{e\}$, then there is a maximal subgroup $M < G \setminus \{p\}$ satisfying $p \in \langle x, M\rangle$ for all $x \in G \setminus M$.

2.) As discrete group, G/M abelian $\Rightarrow \exists\phi\colon G/M \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ with $\phi(p) \neq e$.

$\ker\phi < G/M, M$ maximal wrt not containing $p + M \implies \ker\phi = M$.

Thus $G/M \simeq K < \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$.

$(\forall x \in G)\ p \in \langle x, M\rangle \implies pM \in \langle xM\rangle \implies pM = (xM)^{n_x}\ (\exists n_x \in \mathbb{Z})$.

$g \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \implies g$ has countably many roots, so G/M is countable.

Starting with $Q < \mathcal{C}$ dense and proper, then choosing $Q < M$ implies M is proper, dense and has countable index. $\square$